

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	•	
	10/791,893		03/04/2004	Minekazu Sakai	01-568	4797		
	23400	7590	04/05/2005		EXAMINER			
	POSZ LAW 12040 SOUT		•		MILLER, TAKISHA S			
	SUITE 101	II DAILD	5 DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	•	
	RESTON, V.	A 20191	l		2855			

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,					M-10					
		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	, (
		10/791,89	3	SAKAI, MINEKAZU						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Takisha M	ller	2855						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence addres	s					
		N V IO OFT T	S EVELEE & MONTH	(C) EDOM						
THE - External after - If the control of the contro	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statication for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statication for the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no eve eply within the statu od will apply and wil ute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tin tory minimum of thirty (30) day l expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this commun D (35 U.S.C.§ 133).	nication.					
Status										
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03</u>	January 2005	5.							
·	<u> </u>	nis action is n								
3) 🔲	Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except	for formal matters, pre	osecution as to the me	rits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Qu	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims									
•	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application	on.			•					
٠/١	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd		sideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
·	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.									
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	I/or election re	equirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers									
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.								
•	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre				.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•								
Priority i	under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an nriarity und	ler 35 I I S C & 119/a)-(d) or (f)						
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	gii pilotity unt	iei 55 0.5.6. § 118(a) ² (d) 01 (i).						
a)	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been	n received							
	Certified copies of the priority docume			ion No.						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr		• •		ie					
•	application from the International Bure	-			•					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a li	•		ed.						
Attachmen			A) D Internitory Comment	/PTO 412\						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D							
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Cer No(s)/Mail Date	08)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/791,893 Page 2

Art Unit: 2855

DETAILED Final ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim1, 3, 6-11,13 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kubota (5,894,091).
 - a. With respect to claims 1 and 6, Kubota teaches a sensor (2) comprising a plurality of sensors (J1-J4/K1-K4) for detecting a mechanical quantity based on capacities among fixed electrodes (A-F) and moving electrodes (G) coupled to beams (Fig.2)(Col.2, lines 49-67); wherein each of the plurality of sensors generates an output signal which are summed together to provide a detection signal (Col.9, lines 48-67)(Figs.4,5).
 - b. With respect to claims 3 and 13, Kubota teaches sensor (2) wherein said plurality of sensors is formed on a common semiconductor substrate (53)(Fig.6).
 - c. With respect to claim 7, Kuboto teaches wherein output lines from each of the plurality of sensors (J1-J4/K1-K4) transmitting the output signals are connected in parallel (Fig.4).

Application/Control Number: 10/791,893

Art Unit: 2855

d. With respect to claim 8, Kuboto teaches wherein each of the output signals is added in parallel (Fig.5).

Page 3

- e. With respect to claims 9-11 and 17, Kuboto teaches a first sensor (J2) oriented in a first direction (Y) for detecting a first mechanical quantity and generating a first output signal; a second sensor (J4) oriented in the first direction (Y) for detecting a second mechanical quantity and generating a second output signal; a third sensor (K1) oriented in the first direction (Y) for detecting a third mechanical quantity and generating a third output signal (Figs.3/5).
- f. With respect to claims 15 and 16, Kuboto teaches a sensor (2) comprising fixed electrodes (A-F), a weight (H), and moving electrodes (G); wherein the moving electrodes are interleaved with the fixed electrodes in a comb-like fashion (Col. 6, line 50- Col. 7, line 10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 2,4,5,12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Kuboto.

Kuboto discloses a sensor (2) with a plurality of sensors formed on a common substrate (53). Kuboto fails to teach the plurality of sensors formed on different substrates and/or formed

Art Unit: 2855

Applicant is considered to be nothing more than the use of one of numerous and well known alternate types formation processes that a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been able to provide the above limitations using routine experimentation in order to secure a plurality of sensors to a substrate for the purpose of detecting a mechanical quantity as already suggested by Kuboto.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. (US 2002/0011111) teaches an acceleration sensor.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,893

Art Unit: 2855

Page 5

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Takisha Miller whose telephone number is (571) 272-2184. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (7:00 am - 3:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EDWARD LEEKOWITZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800